arXiv:0901.4811v1 [math.NA] 30 Jan 2009

THE FORWARD EULER SCHEME FOR NONCONVEX LIPSCHITZ DIFFERENTIAL INCLUSIONS CONVERGES WITH RATE ONE

MATTIAS SANDBERG

Abstract. In a previous paper it was shown that the Forward Euler method applied to differential inclusions where the right-hand side is a Lipschitz continuous set-valued function with uniformly bounded, compact values, converges with rate one. The convergence, which was there in the sense of reachable sets, is in this paper strengthened to the sense of convergence of solution paths. An improvement of the error constant is given for the case when the set-valued function consists of a small number of smooth ordinary functions.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. The Results 3
3. The fully discrete case 6
References 12

1. Introduction

In this paper we extend the convergence result from [4]. The following differential inclusion is considered:

$$\begin{aligned} x'(t) &\in F\big(x(t)\big), \\ x(0) &= x_0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and F is a function from $\mathbb{R}^d$ to the compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d$. In [4], it is shown that if F is uniformly bounded in the sense that

$$|y| \leq K, \quad \text{for all } y \in \bigcup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} F(x), \tag{1.2}$$

and Lipschitz continuous with respect to Hausdorff distance,

$$\mathcal{H}\big(F(x), F(y)\big) \leq L|x-y|, \tag{1.3}$$

then the Forward Euler method converges with rate one. For the definition of the Hausdorff distance we need the following notation (as in [4]). We

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34A60, 65L20, 49M25.

denote by B the closed unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^d$. The Minkowski sum of two non-empty sets $C, D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is defined by

$$C + D = \big\{c + d \mid c \in C \text{ and } d \in D\big\},$$

the multiplication by a scalar, $\lambda > 0$, by

$$\lambda C = \big\{\lambda c \mid c \in C\big\},$$

and the sum of an element $c \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and a set C by

$$c + C = \big\{c\big\} + C.$$

The Hausdorff distance is given by

$$\mathcal{H}(C, D) = \inf \big\{\lambda \geq 0 \mid C \subset D + \lambda B \text{ and } D \subset C + \lambda B\big\}.$$

We will denote by $|\cdot|$ the Euclidean norm, when applied to a vector, and the Euclidean operator norm, when applied to a matrix. We consider solutions $x : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^d$ to the differential inclusion (1.1) in the finite time interval $[0, T]$. A solution is an absolutely continuous function which satisfies (1.1) a.e. For the Forward Euler method we split the interval $[0, T]$ into N parts of equal length $\Delta t = T/N$. The Forward Euler scheme is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{n+1} &\in \xi_n + \Delta t F(\xi_n), \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1, \\ \xi_0 &= x_0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

The convergence result in [4] concerns the reachable sets

$$\begin{aligned} C_n &= \big\{x(n\Delta t) \mid x : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^d \text{ solution to (1.1)}\big\}, \\ D_n &= \big\{\xi_n \mid \{\xi_i\}_{i=0}^N \text{ solution to (1.4)}\big\}. \end{aligned}$$

It was shown there that under the assumptions in (1.2) and (1.3) the following bound holds:

$$\max_{0 \leq n \leq N} \mathcal{H}(C_n, D_n) \leq \Big(K e^{LT}\big(Kd(d+1) + LT\big) + 2Kd\Big)\Delta t. \tag{1.5}$$

This was an extension of the previous first order convergence result in [2] in the sense that the set-valued function F did not need to be convex. In [3], the non-convex case was presented, although in a different form (see [4]), but there only half-order convergence was proved. Although the convergence of the reachable sets in (1.5) is what is needed in many situations, e.g. in optimal control (see [4]), it is weaker than the convergence of solution paths, the type of convergence used in e.g. [2] and [3]. In section 2 we show that the first-order convergence result for non-convex differential inclusions can be extended so that it gives convergence of solution paths. The proof is actually only a minor change of the proof in [4]. Another weakness with the convergence result in (1.5) is that the constant depends quadratically on the dimension. In [4] it was shown that this constant can not be expected to be smaller than of order $\sqrt{d}$ in general. In section 2 a partial improvement is given for the case where the differential inclusion is a control problem with few control parameters. In section 3 two results which are needed in the proof of the theorem involving few control parameters are presented.

2. The Results

We introduce the same set-valued maps that was used in [4]. Let φ and ψ be functions from $\mathbb{R}^d$ into the non-empty compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d$, defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(x) &= x + \Delta t F(x),\\ \psi(x) &= x + \Delta t\,\mathrm{co}\big(F(x)\big),\end{aligned}$$

where co denotes the convex hull. If A is a subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ we define

$$\varphi(A) = \bigcup_{x\in A} \varphi(x),$$

and similarly for the set-valued maps ψ and F We will use the following result for convex differential inclusions. It is taken from [2], where it is formulated in a slightly more general setting than the one presented here.

Theorem 2.1. *Let F be a function from $\mathbb{R}^d$ into the non-empty compact convex subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d$, which satisfies* (1.3) *and* (1.3). *For any solution $x : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^d$ to* (1.1) *there exists a solution $\{\eta_n\}_{n=0}^N$ to* (1.4) *such that*

$$\max_{0\le n\le N} |x(n\Delta t) - \eta_n| \le KLTe^{LT}\Delta t. \tag{2.1}$$

Moreover, for any solution $\{\eta_n\}_{n=0}^N$ to (1.4) *there exists a solution $x : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^d$ to* (1.1) *such that* (2.1) *holds.*

The convergence of solution paths to non-convex differential inclusions is given next.

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that $x : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^d$ solves (1.1). Let F be a function from $\mathbb{R}^d$ into the non-empty compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d$, which satisfies* (1.3) *and* (1.3). *Then there exists a solution $\{\xi_n\}_{n=0}^N$ to* (1.4)*, such that*

$$\max_{0\le n\le N}|x(n\Delta t) - \xi_n| \le K(e^{LT}d(d+1) + 2d + LTe^{LT})\Delta t. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. Let $\{\eta_n\}_{n=0}^N$ be a solution to the scheme

$$\eta_{n+1} \in \psi(\eta_n), \quad \text{for } 0 \le n \le N-1,$$

which satisfies (2.1). By lemma 2.1 in [4] it follows that the set-valued function $\mathrm{co}\big(F(x)\big)$ is Lipschitz continuous in the Hausdorff distance with the same constant as $F(x)$. Therefore Theorem 2.1 guarantees the existence of such a solution $\{\eta_n\}$.

Let ε be any positive number, n an integer such that $d \le n \le N$, and ξ_{n-d} a point in $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$\eta_n \in \psi^d(\xi_{n-d}) + \varepsilon B.$$

Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3.4 in [4] we have the following inclusion:

$$\psi\big(\psi^d(\xi_{n-d}) + \varepsilon B\big) \subset \psi^d\big(\varphi(\xi_{n-d})\big) + \big(KLd(d+1)\Delta t^2 + \varepsilon(1 + L\Delta t)\big)B$$

Therefore, there must exist an $\xi_{n-d+1} \in \varphi(\xi_{n-d})$, such that

$$\eta_{n+1} \in \psi^d(\xi_{n-d+1}) + \big(KLd(d+1)\Delta t^2 + \varepsilon(1 + L\Delta t)\big)B.$$

It follows that there exists a solution $\{\xi_n\}_{n=0}^{N-d}$ to

$$\xi_{n+1} \in \varphi(\xi_n), \tag{2.3}$$

for $0 \leq n \leq N - d - 1$, such that

$$\eta_{n+d} \in \psi^d(\xi_n) + \varepsilon_n B,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{n+1} &= (1 + L\Delta t)\varepsilon_n + KLd(d+1)\Delta t^2, \\ \varepsilon_0 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the proof of Theorem 3.5 in [4] it holds that

$$\varepsilon_n \leq Ke^{LTn/N} d(d+1)\Delta t \leq Ke^{LT} d(d+1)\Delta t.$$

Let us extend the solution $\{\xi_n\}$ up to $n = N$, by letting $\{\xi_n\}_{n=N-d+1}^{N}$ be any solution to (2.3) for $N - d \leq n \leq N - 1$. For $d \leq n \leq N$ we have

$$|\xi_n - \eta_n| \leq |\xi_n - \xi_{n-d}| + |\eta_n - \xi_{n_d}| \leq Kd\Delta t + Kd\Delta t + Ke^{LT} d(d+1)\Delta t. \tag{2.4}$$

For $0 \leq n \leq d$ we have

$$|\xi_n - \eta_n| \leq |\xi_n - x_0| + |\eta_n - x_0| \leq 2Kd\Delta t.$$

Hence (2.4) holds for all $0 \leq n \leq N$. This together with (2.1) gives (2.2) $\square$

Let us now consider the situation where the set-valued function F is given by

$$F(x) = \{f_i(x)\}_{i=1}^{M}, \tag{2.5}$$

and where we have smoothness, in the sense that there exists a constant $S > 0$, such that

$$|f_i(x) - f_i(z) - f_i'(z)(x - z)| \leq S|x - z|^2, \tag{2.6}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq M$ and $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$. By (1.2) we have

$$|f_i(x)| \leq K, \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq M \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{2.7}$$

Let us assume that we also have the following bound on the Jacobians:

$$|f_i'(x)| \leq L, \quad \text{for every } x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{2.8}$$

Under these assumptions we have

Theorem 2.3. *Assume that* (2.5), (2.6), (2.7), *and* (2.8) *hold. Assume that* $M \geq d+1$, *and that* $x : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^d$ *solves* (1.1). *Then there exists a solution* $\{\xi_n\}_{n=0}^N$ *to* (1.4), *such that*

$$\max_{0\leq n\leq N} |x(n\Delta t) - \xi_n| \leq \big(e^{LT}(KLT + K(8M-10)) + 2K(M-1)\big)\Delta t$$

$$+ e^{LT}\big(KL(M-1)(M-2) + 2KL\frac{(M-1)^3-(M-1)}{3}(1+L\Delta t)^{M-3}$$

$$+ 2SK^2\frac{M(M-1)(2M-1)}{3L}\big)\Delta t^2. \quad (2.9)$$

Proof. This proof follows the same basic lines as the proof of Theorem 2.2. Hence we assume that we have a solution $\{\eta_n\}_{n=0}^N$ to the scheme

$$\eta_{n+1} \in \psi(\eta_n), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq n \leq N-1,$$

which satisfies (2.1). Let ε be any positive number, n an integer such that $M-1 \leq n \leq N$, and ξ_{n-M+1} a point in $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$\eta_n \in \operatorname{co}\big(\varphi^{M-1}(\xi_{n-M+1})\big) + \varepsilon B.$$

Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3.4 in [4] we have the following inclusion:

$$\psi\big(\operatorname{co}(\varphi^{M-1}(\xi_{n-M+1})) + \varepsilon B\big) \subset \psi\big(\operatorname{co}(\varphi^{M-1}(\xi_{n-M+1}))\big) + \varepsilon(1+L\Delta t)B.$$

By Theorems 3.3 and 3.2 we have

$$\psi\big(\operatorname{co}(\varphi^{M-1}(\xi_{n-M+1}))\big) + \varepsilon(1+L\Delta t)B \subset \bigcup_{x\in\varphi(\xi_{n-M+1})} \operatorname{co}\big(\varphi^{M-1}(x)\big)$$

$$+ \big(\varepsilon(1+L\Delta t) + (8M-10)KL\Delta t^2$$

$$+ (2KL^2\frac{(M-1)^3-(M-1)}{3}(1+L\Delta t)^{M-3}$$

$$+ 2SK^2\frac{M(M-1)(2M-1)}{3})\Delta t^3\big)B =: \big(\varepsilon(1+L\Delta t) + C_1\Delta t^2 + C_2\Delta t^3\big)B.$$

Therefore, there must exist a $\xi_{n-M+2} \in \varphi(\xi_{n-M+1})$, such that

$$\eta_{n+1} \in \operatorname{co}\big(\varphi^{M-1}(\xi_{n-M+2})\big) + \big(\varepsilon(1+L\Delta t) + C_1\Delta t^2 + C_2\Delta t^3\big)B.$$

Hence there exists a solution $\{\xi_n\}_{n=0}^{N-M+1}$ to

$$\xi_{n+1} \in \varphi(\xi_n),$$
$$\xi_0 = x_0,$$

for $0 \leq n \leq N-M$, such that

$$\eta_{n+M-1} \in \operatorname{co}\big(\varphi^{M-1}(\xi_n)\big) + \varepsilon_n B,$$

where

$$\varepsilon_{n+1} = (1+L\Delta t)\varepsilon_n + C_1\Delta t^2 + C_2\Delta t^3,$$
$$\varepsilon_0 = KL(M-1)(M-2)\Delta t^2.$$

From this we have that

$$\epsilon_n = (1+L\Delta t)^{n+1}\varepsilon_0 + (C_1\Delta t^2 + C_2\Delta t^3)\big(1+(1+L\Delta t)+\cdots+(1+L\Delta t)^n\big).$$

For $0 \le n \le N-M$ we have $(1+L\Delta t)^n \le e^{LT}$ and

$$1+(1+L\Delta t)+\cdots+(1+L\Delta t)^n \le \frac{e^{LT}-1}{L\Delta t} \le \frac{e^{LT}}{L\Delta t}.$$

Hence

$$\varepsilon_n \le KLe^{LT}(M-1)(M-2)\Delta t^2 + \frac{e^{LT}}{L}(C_1\Delta t + C_2\Delta t^2).$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2.2 we can extend $\{\xi_n\}$ up to N and have

$$|\xi_n - \eta_n| \le KLe^{LT}(M-1)(M-2)\Delta t^2 + \frac{e^{LT}}{L}(C_1\Delta t + C_2\Delta t^2) + 2K(M-1)\Delta t.$$

This together with (2.1) gives us (2.9). □

3. The fully discrete case

We present here two results, Theorems 3.2 and 3.3, that are useful for the proof of Theorem 2.3. We will use the following well-known result, the Carathéodory Theorem:

Theorem 3.1. *The convex hull of an arbitrary subset A of $\mathbb{R}^d$ is given by*

$$co(A) = \Big\{\sum_{i=1}^{d+1}\lambda_i a_i \mid a_i \in A, \lambda_i \ge 0, \sum_{i=1}^{d+1}\lambda_i = 1\Big\}.$$

For a proof, see [1].

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that* (2.5), (2.6), (2.7), *and* (2.8) *hold, and that* $M \ge d+1$. *Then*

$$co\big(\varphi^M(x_0)\big) \subset \cup_{x\in\varphi(x_0)} co\big(\varphi^{M-1}(x)\big) + RB, \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$R = (8M-10)KL\Delta t^2 + \Big(2KL^2\frac{(M-1)^3-(M-1)}{3}(1+L\Delta t)^{M-3} + SK^2\frac{M(M-1)(2M-1)}{3}\Big)\Delta t^3.$$

Proof. We start by introducing the notation

$$b_i = f_i(x_0),\ A_i = f_i'(x_0), \quad \text{for } 0 \le i \le M.$$

To begin with, we will make the assumption that the functions f_i are given by

$$f_i(x) = b_i + A_i(x-x_0). \tag{3.2}$$

Afterwards, we will consider the general case. For simplicity, we will prove (3.1) for the case where $M = d+1$. The general result follows directly from this. We will also assume that $x_0 = 0$, to simplify the presentation.

Step 1. Every point x in $\varphi^{d+1}(x_0)$ is given by

$$x = x_0 + \Delta t f_{i_1}(x_0) + \Delta t f_{i_2}(x_1) + \cdots + \Delta t f_{i_{d+1}}(x_d), \tag{3.3}$$

where $i_j \in \{1, \dots, d+1\}$, for all $1 \leq j \leq d+1$, and x_1, x_2,..., are defined recursively by

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + \Delta t f_{i_n}(x_n), \quad \text{for } n = 0, \dots, d.$$

Since we now assume that the functions f_i are given by (3.2), we have

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + \Delta t b_{i_n} + \Delta t A_{i_n} x_n. \tag{3.4}$$

When we sum the terms in (3.3) under the consideration of (3.4), we see that

$$x = x_0 + \sum_{r=1}^{d+1} \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \ldots < k_r \leq d+1} A_{i_{k_r}} A_{i_{k_{r-1}}} \cdots A_{i_{k_2}} b_{i_{k_1}} \Delta t^r.$$

Let $\tilde{x}$ be the approximation of x, where all terms of power three or larger in Δt have been dropped, i.e.

$$\tilde{x} = x_0 + \sum_{r=1}^{2} \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \ldots < k_r \leq d+1} A_{i_{k_r}} A_{i_{k_{r-1}}} \cdots A_{i_{k_2}} b_{i_{k_1}} \Delta t^r.$$

With the bounds on A_i and b_i from (2.7)and (2.8), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{x} - x| &\leq \sum_{r=3}^{d+1} \binom{d+1}{r} L^{r-1} K \Delta t^r \\ &= \frac{K}{L} \sum_{r=0}^{d+1} \binom{d+1}{r} (L\Delta t)^r - \frac{K}{L}\big(1 + (d+1)L\Delta t + \frac{d(d+1)}{2} L^2 \Delta t^2\big) \\ &= \frac{K}{L}(1 + L\Delta t)^{d+1} - \frac{K}{L}\big(1 + (d+1)L\Delta t + \frac{d(d+1)}{2} L^2 \Delta t^2\big). \end{aligned}$$

With a Taylor expansion of the function $f(x) = (1+x)^{d+1}$ around $x = 0$, we establish that

$$(1+x)^{d+1} \leq 1 + (d+1)x + \frac{d(d+1)}{2} x^2 + \frac{d^3 - d}{6} (1+x)^{d-2} x^3,$$

and hence

$$|\tilde{x} - x| \leq K L^2 \frac{d^3 - d}{6} (1 + L\Delta t)^{d-2} \Delta t^3. \tag{3.5}$$

Step 2. We now consider the convex combination

$$(1 - \frac{1}{N}) x^1 + \frac{1}{N} x^2, \tag{3.6}$$

where x^1 and x^2 are two elements in $\varphi^{d+1}(x_0)$, such that in the expression in (3.3) for x^1, none of the indices i_n equals one, while for x^2, N of the indices equal one. We will see how well the convex combination in (3.6) can be represented by another convex combination,

$$(1-\frac{1}{N})\tilde{x}^1+\frac{1}{N}\tilde{x}^2, \tag{3.7}$$

where $\tilde{x}^1$ and $\tilde{x}^2$ both have precisely one index i_n equal to one in the expression in (3.3).

Pick any $n \in \{1,2,\dots,d+1\}$. Assume that we define $\tilde{x}^1$ by changing the index i_n (denote $i_n = k$) in the expression (3.3) for x^1 to one. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{x}^1 - x^1 = \Delta t(b_1 - b_{i_n}) + \Delta t^2\big((A_1 - A_{i_n})(b_{i_1} + b_{i_2} + \cdots + b_{i_{n-1}})\\ + (A_{i_{n+1}} + \cdots + A_{i_{d+1}})(b_1 - b_{i_n})\big) + \text{ higher order terms.}\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Simiarly, we define $\tilde{x}^2$ by exchanging all the indices for which $i_n = 1$ to $i_n = k$. Then the first order term in (3.7) is the same as in (3.6). The second order term in the difference $\tilde{x}^1 - x^1$ in (3.8) is bounded in magnitude by $2KLd\Delta t^2$. Since this bound holds independently of which of the indices was changed, it follows that the second order term in the difference $\tilde{x}^2 - x^2$ is bounded in magnitude by $2NKLd\Delta t^2$. Hence the difference in the second order term between the convex combinations in (3.6) and in (3.7) is bounded by

$$(1-\frac{1}{N})2KLd\Delta t^2 + \frac{1}{N}2NKLd\Delta t^2 = (4-\frac{2}{N})KLd\Delta t^2 \leq 4KLd\Delta t^2.$$

In step 1, we established that the sum of all terms of order higher than or equal to three in Δt for every element in $\varphi^{d+1}(x_0)$ is bounded as in (3.5). We thereby have

$$\big|(1-\frac{1}{N})(\tilde{x}^1-x^1)+\frac{1}{N}(\tilde{x}^2-x^2)\big| \leq 4KLd\Delta t^2 + KL^2\frac{d^3-d}{3}(1+L\Delta t)^{d-2}\Delta t^3. \tag{3.9}$$

Step 3. Let z be any element in $\operatorname{co}\big(\varphi^{d+1}(x_0)\big)$. By the Carathéodory Theorem (Theorem 3.1), we have that there exists a $G \leq d+1$ and points and constants $x^i \in \varphi^{d+1}(x_0)$ and $\alpha_i > 0$, for $1 \leq i \leq G$, such that

$$z = \sum_{i=1}^{G} \alpha_i x^i, \tag{3.10}$$

and $\sum_{i=1}^{G} \alpha_i = 1$. We can then write

$$z = x_0 + \Delta t \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} \gamma_i b_i + \text{ higher order terms,}$$

where $\gamma_i \geq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d+1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{d+1} \gamma_i = d+1$. It must hold that at least one of the coefficients $\gamma_i \geq 1$. For simplicity, let us assume that $\gamma_1 \geq 1$. We will now present an algorithm which gives us an approximation of z in the form of a convex combination of points in $\varphi^{d+1}(x_0)$ which all have one index $i_n = 1$ in the formula (3.3). We also give an error bound of this approximation.

Let $I \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, G\}$ be the index set of all the points x^i in (3.10) for which none of the indices i_1 in the formula for the points in $\varphi^{d+1}(x_0)$ equals one. Let J be a set which consists of the weights α_i corresponding to elements in I, i.e. $i \in I$ if and only if $\alpha_i \in J$.

If $I = \emptyset$, we already have what we are aiming for. Let us therefore assume that I is nonempty, and for simplicity that $i = 1$ is one of the elements therein. Take one element in $\{1, 2, \ldots, d+1\} \setminus I$, such that the corresponding element x^i in the convex combination (3.10) is of the form

$$x^i = x_0 + \Delta t(Nb_1 + \cdots) + \text{ higher order terms,}$$

with $N \geq 2$. Such an element must exist, since $\gamma_1 \geq 1$. For simplicity, let us assume that x^2 is one such element. We may write

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}(\alpha_1 x^1 + \alpha_2 x^2) = x_0 + \Delta t(kb_1 + \cdots) + \text{ higher order terms.}$$

One of the two following cases must hold:

(1) $k > 1$. When this is the case we rewrite as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 x^1 + \alpha_2 x^2 &= \alpha_1 x^1 + \frac{\alpha_1}{N-1}x^2 + \big(\alpha_2 - \frac{\alpha_1}{N-1}\big)x^2 \\ &= \frac{N}{N-1}\alpha_1\big((1 - \frac{1}{N})x^1 + \frac{1}{N}x^2\big) + \big(\alpha_2 - \frac{\alpha_1}{N-1}\big)x^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Since $k > 1$ we have that $\alpha_2 - \alpha_1/(N-1)$ is positive. By the result from step 2, we have the approximation result in (3.9), with some points $\tilde{x}^1$ and $\tilde{x}^2$, both being of the form

$$x_0 + \Delta t(b_1 + \cdots) + \text{ higher order terms.}$$

Together with (3.11), this implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{G} \alpha_i x^i = \alpha_1 \tilde{x}^1 + \frac{\alpha_1}{N-1}\tilde{x}^2 + \big(\alpha_2 - \frac{\alpha_1}{N-1}\big)x^2 + \sum_{i=3}^{G} \alpha_i x^i + \kappa, \tag{3.12}$$

where

$$|\kappa| \leq \frac{N}{N-1}\alpha_1\big(4KLd\Delta t^2 + KL^2\frac{d^3 - d}{3}(1 + L\Delta t)^{d-2}\Delta t^3\big). \tag{3.13}$$

(2) $k \leq 1$. In this case we rewrite as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 x^1 + \alpha_2 x^2 &= (N-1)\alpha_2 x^1 + \alpha_2 x^2 + \big(\alpha_1 - (N-1)\alpha_2\big)x^1 \\ &= N\alpha_2\big((1 - \frac{1}{N})x^1 + \frac{1}{N}x^2\big) + \big(\alpha_1 - (N-1)\alpha_2\big)x^1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $k \leq 1$ we have that $\alpha_1 - (N-1)\alpha_2$ is nonnegative. Similarly as in case (1), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{G} \alpha_i x^i = (N-1)\alpha_2 \tilde{x}^1 + \alpha_2 \tilde{x}^2 + \big(\alpha_1 - (N-1)\alpha_2\big)x^1 + \sum_{i=3}^{G} \alpha_i x^i + \kappa, \tag{3.14}$$

where

$$|\kappa| \leq N\alpha_2\big(4KLd\Delta t^2 + KL^2 \frac{d^3-d}{3}(1+L\Delta t)^{d-2}\Delta t^3\big). \tag{3.15}$$

If case (1) holds we let

$$\hat{z} = \alpha_1 \tilde{x}^1 + \frac{\alpha_1}{N-1}\tilde{x}^2 + \big(\alpha_2 - \frac{\alpha_1}{N-1}\big)x^2 + \sum_{i=3}^{G} \alpha_i x^i,$$

and remove $i = 1$ from I and α_1 from J. If case (2) holds we let

$$\hat{z} = (N-1)\alpha_2 \tilde{x}^1 + \alpha_2 \tilde{x}^2 + \big(\alpha_1 - (N-1)\alpha_2\big)x^1 + \sum_{i=3}^{G} \alpha_i x^i,$$

and replace α_1 with $(N-1)\alpha_2$ in J. We then iterate the process above with z replaced by $\hat{z}$, and the new sets I and J. We continue this process until the sets I and J are empty, and we have an approximation $\tilde{z}$ of z of the form

$$\tilde{z} = \sum_i \tilde{\alpha}_i \tilde{x}^i, \tag{3.16}$$

where every $\tilde{x}^i$ is of the form

$$\tilde{x}^i = x_0 + \Delta t(mb_1 + \cdots) + \text{ higher order terms},$$

with m an integer greater than or equal to one. We note that the factor $N\alpha_2$, appearing in the error estimate in (3.15) is the same as the weights of the new points $\tilde{x}^1$ and $\tilde{x}^2$ in (3.14). The same holds also for case (1), with (3.13) and (3.12). Since the total weight of the points that have been changed can not be larger than one, we have that

$$|\tilde{z} - z| \leq 4KLd\Delta t^2 + KL^2 \frac{d^3-d}{3}(1+L\Delta t)^{d-2}\Delta t^3. \tag{3.17}$$

Step 4. We now approximate the point $\tilde{z}$ in (3.16) by a point in $\varphi^d(x_0 + \Delta t f_1(x_0))$. Consider any point $\tilde{x}^i$ in the convex combination in (3.16). When $\tilde{x}^i$ is computed by equation (3.3) we know that at least one of the indices must equal one. Let us assume that $i_n = 1$. We denote by $\bar{x}^i$ the element in $\varphi^d(x_0 + \Delta t f_1(x_0))$ we obtain by switching the indices i_1 and $i_n = 1$ in the expression for $\tilde{x}^i$ in (3.3). We then have the difference

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{x}^i - \tilde{x}^i = \Delta t^2\big((A_{i_2} + \cdots + A_{i_{n-1}})(b_{i_n} - b_{i_1}) + A_{i_1}(b_{i_2} + \cdots + b_{i_n}) \\ - A_{i_n}(b_{i_1} + \cdots + b_{i_{n-1}})\big) + \text{ higher order terms.}\end{aligned}$$

We may get a bound for the magnitude of the difference $\bar{x}^i - \tilde{x}^i$ by using the bounds on $|A_i|$ and $|b_i|$ from (2.7) and (2.8) and the bound on the higher order terms of $\bar{x}^i$ and $\tilde{x}^i$ in (3.5). We get the largest possible difference if $n = d+1$:

$$|\bar{x}^i - \tilde{x}^i| \le (4d-2)KL\Delta t^2 + KL^2\frac{d^3-d}{3}(1+L\Delta t)^{d-2}\Delta t^3.$$

Now let

$$\bar{z} = \sum_i \tilde{\alpha}_i \bar{x}^i.$$

Since $\sum_i \tilde{\alpha}_i = 1$, we therefore have that

$$|\bar{z} - \tilde{z}| \le (4d-2)KL\Delta t^2 + KL^2\frac{d^3-d}{3}(1+L\Delta t)^{d-2}\Delta t^3. \tag{3.18}$$

Step 5. We now consider the contribution to the error from the fact that we may not have (3.2), but instead the functions f_i satisfy (2.6). Denote by P the set $\mathrm{co}\big(\varphi^{d+1}(x_0)\big)$ when it is computed using (3.2). The set $\mathrm{co}\big(\varphi^{d+1}(x_0)\big)$ in the general case satisfies the inclusion

$$\mathrm{co}\big(\varphi^{d+1}(x_0)\big) \subset P + rB,$$

where

$$r = SK^2\Delta t^3 + 4SK^2\Delta t^3 + \cdots + d^2SK^2\Delta t^3 \\ = SK^2\frac{d(d+1)(2d+1)}{6}\Delta t^3.$$

An error of size r is made also when

$$\cup_{x\in\varphi(x_0)}\mathrm{co}\big(\varphi^d(x)\big)$$

is approximated using (3.2). This, together with (3.17), (3.18), and $M = d+1$ gives (3.1). ☐

Theorem 3.3. *Assume that* (2.5), (2.6), (2.7), *and* (2.8) *hold. Then*

$$\psi\big(co(\varphi^{M-1}(z))\big) \subset co\big(\varphi^M(z)\big) + SK^2\frac{M(M-1)(2M-1)}{3}\Delta t^3 B. \tag{3.19}$$

Proof. To begin with, let us assume that

$$f_i(x) = b_i + A_i(x-z), \quad \text{for } 1 \le i \le M. \tag{3.20}$$

We will show that this implies that

$$\psi\big(\mathrm{co}(\varphi^{M-1}(z))\big) \subset \mathrm{co}\big(\varphi^M(z)\big). \tag{3.21}$$

Let v be any unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and consider the function

$$(x, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M) \mapsto v \cdot (x + \sum_{i=1}^M \alpha_i f_i(x)),$$

over the set

$$\big\{x, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M : x \in \mathrm{co}(\varphi^{M-1}(z)), \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha_i \ge 0, \sum_{i=1}^M \alpha_i = 1\big\}.$$

The function is continuous, and the set is compact, and hence there is a maximizer

$$x^*, \alpha_1^*, \dots, \alpha_M^*.$$

We note two things:

(1) Since the function

$$x \mapsto v \cdot (x + \Delta t \sum_{i=1}^{M} \alpha_i^* f_i(x))$$

is linear, its maximum over $\mathrm{co}\big(\varphi^{M-1}(z)\big)$ is attained at a point in $\varphi^{M-1}(z)$.

(2) Since the function

$$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M) \mapsto v \cdot (x^* + \Delta t \sum_{i=1}^{M} \alpha_i f_i(x^*))$$

is linear, its maximum over

$$\big\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M : \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{M} \alpha_i = 1\big\}$$

is attained at a point where one of the α_i:s are one.

Since v can be any element in $\mathbb{R}^d$, these facts imply that

$$\mathrm{co}\Big(\psi\big(\mathrm{co}(\varphi^{M-1}(z))\big)\Big) = \mathrm{co}\big(\varphi^N(z)\big), \tag{3.22}$$

which implies (3.21).

Now let $b_i = f_i(z)$ and $A_i = f_i'(z)$. Let us denote by P the set in (3.22) when the functions in (3.20) are used. By (2.6) and (2.7) we have that

$$\psi\big(\mathrm{co}(\varphi^{M-1}(z))\big) \subset P + rB, \tag{3.23}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r = SK^2\Delta t^3 + 4SK^2\Delta t^3 + \dots + (M-1)^2 SK^2 \Delta t^3 \\ = SK^2 \frac{M(M-1)(2M-1)}{6} \Delta t^3. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$P \subset \mathrm{co}\big(\varphi^M(z)\big) + rB. \tag{3.24}$$

The inclusion (3.19) follows by (3.23) and (3.24). □

References

[1] Marcel Berger. *Geometry. I.* Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987. Translated from the French by M. Cole and S. Levy.

[2] A. L. Dontchev and E. M. Farkhi. Error estimates for discretized differential inclusion. *Computing*, 41(4):349–358, 1989.

[3] G. Grammel. Towards fully discretized differential inclusions. *Set-Valued Anal.*, 11(1):1–8, 2003.

[4] Mattias Sandberg. Convergence of the Forward Euler method for non-convex differential inclusions. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 47(1):308–320, 2008.

Centre of Mathematics for Applications (CMA) c/o Dept of Mathematics, Box 1053 Blindern, NO-0316 Oslo, NORWAY
E-mail address: `mattias.sandberg@cma.uio.no`